

VECTOR-VALUED CHARACTERS ON VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

MORTAZA ABTAHI

ABSTRACT. Let A be a commutative unital Banach algebra and X be a compact space. We study the class of A -valued function algebras on X as subalgebras of $C(X, A)$ with certain properties. We introduce the notion of A -characters of an A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} as homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} into A that basically have the same properties as evaluation homomorphisms $\mathcal{E}_x : f \mapsto f(x)$, with $x \in X$. We show that, under certain conditions, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of A -characters of \mathcal{A} and the set of characters of the function algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap C(X)$ of all scalar-valued functions in \mathcal{A} . For the so-called natural A -valued function algebras, such as $C(X, A)$ and $\text{Lip}(X, A)$, we show that \mathcal{E}_x ($x \in X$) are the only A -characters. Vector-valued characters are utilized to identify vector-valued spectra.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we consider only commutative unital Banach algebras over the complex field \mathbb{C} [3, 4, 11, 18].

Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. The set of all characters of A is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. It is well-known that $\mathfrak{M}(A)$, equipped with the Gelfand topology, is a compact Hausdorff space called the character space of A . For every $a \in A$, let $\hat{a} : \mathfrak{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\phi \mapsto \phi(a)$, be the Gelfand transform of a . The algebra A then can be seen, through its Gelfand representation $A \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{M}(A))$, $a \mapsto \hat{a}$, as a subalgebra of $C(\mathfrak{M}(A))$.

1.1. Complex function algebras. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. The algebra $C(X)$ of all continuous complex-valued functions on X equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a commutative unital Banach algebra. A *function algebra* on X is a subalgebra \mathfrak{A} of $C(X)$ that separates the points of X and contains the constant functions. A function algebra \mathfrak{A} equipped with some complete algebra norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a *Banach function algebra*. If the norm of a Banach function algebra \mathfrak{A} is equivalent to the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, then \mathfrak{A} is a *uniform algebra*.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach function algebra on X . For every $x \in X$, the mapping $\varepsilon_x : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f \mapsto f(x)$, is a character of \mathfrak{A} , and the mapping $J : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$, $x \mapsto \varepsilon_x$, imbeds X homeomorphically as a compact subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$. When J is surjective, one calls \mathfrak{A} *natural* [4, Chapter 4]. For example, $C(X)$ is a natural uniform algebra, while, for the unit circle \mathbb{T} , the algebra $P(\mathbb{T})$ of all functions

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46J10; Secondary 46J20, 46H10, 46E40.

Key words and phrases. Algebras of continuous vector-valued functions, Banach function algebras, Vector-valued function algebras, Characters, Maximal ideals.

$f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ that can be approximated uniformly on \mathbb{T} by polynomials is not natural. Note, however, that every semisimple commutative unital Banach algebra A can be seen (through its Gelfand representation) as a natural Banach function algebra on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. For more on function algebras see, for example, [6, 12].

1.2. Vector-valued function algebras. Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a commutative unital Banach algebra. The set of all A -valued continuous functions on X is denoted by $C(X, A)$. Algebraic operations on $C(X, A)$ are defined pointwise. The uniform norm $\|f\|_X$ of each function $f \in C(X, A)$ is defined in the obvious way. In this setting, $(C(X, A), \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a commutative unital Banach algebra.

Starting by Yood [17] in 1950, the character space of $C(X, A)$ has been studied by many authors. In 1957, Hausner [7] proved that τ is a character of $C(X, A)$ if, and only if, there exist a point $x \in X$ and a character $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$ such that $\tau(f) = \phi(f(x))$, for all $f \in C(X, A)$, whence $\mathfrak{M}(C(X, A))$ is homeomorphic to $X \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$. Recently, in [1], other characterizations of maximal ideals of $C(X, A)$ have been presented.

1.3. Vector-valued characters. Analogous with Banach function algebras, Banach A -valued function algebras are defined as subalgebras of $C(X, A)$ with certain properties (Definition 2.1). For a Banach A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} on X , consider the evaluation homomorphisms $\mathcal{E}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$, $x \mapsto f(x)$, with $x \in X$. These A -valued homomorphisms are included in a certain class of homomorphisms that will be introduced and studied in Section 3 under the name of *vector-valued characters*. The set of all A -characters of \mathcal{A} will be denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_A(\mathcal{A})$. Note that when $A = \mathbb{C}$, we have $C(X, A) = C(X)$. In this case, A -valued function algebras reduce to function algebras, and A -characters reduce to characters.

An application of vector-valued characters is presented in the forthcoming paper [2] to identify the vector-valued spectrum of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$. It is known that the spectrum of an element $a \in A$ is equal to $\text{SP}(a) = \{\phi(a) : \phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)\}$. In [2] the A -valued spectrum $\vec{\text{SP}}_A(f)$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ are studied and it is proved that, under certain conditions,

$$\vec{\text{SP}}_A(f) = \{\Psi(f) : \Psi \in \mathfrak{M}_A(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

1.4. Notations and conventions. Since in this paper we are dealing with different types of functions and algebras, ambiguity may arise. Hence, a clear declaration of notations and conventions is given here.

- (1) Throughout the paper, X is a compact Hausdorff space, and A is a commutative *semisimple* unital Banach algebra. The unit element of A is denoted by $\mathbf{1}$, and the set of invertible elements of A is denoted by $\text{Inv}(A)$.
- (2) If $f \in C(X)$ and $a \in A$, we write fa to denote the A -valued function $X \rightarrow A$, $x \mapsto f(x)a$. If \mathfrak{A} is a function algebra on X , we let $\mathfrak{A}A$ be the linear span of $\{fa : f \in \mathfrak{A}, a \in A\}$. Hence, any element $f \in \mathfrak{A}A$ is of the form $f = f_1a_1 + \cdots + f_na_n$ with $f_j \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $a_j \in A$.
- (3) Given an element $a \in A$, we use the same notation a for the constant function $X \rightarrow A$ given by $a(x) = a$, for all $x \in X$, and consider A as a closed subalgebra of $C(X, A)$. Since A is assumed to have a unit element $\mathbf{1}$, we identify \mathbb{C} with

the closed subalgebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ of A , and thus every function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be seen as the A -valued function $X \rightarrow A$, $x \mapsto f(x)\mathbf{1}$; we use the same notation f for this A -valued function. In this regard, we admit the identification $C(X) = C(X)\mathbf{1}$ and consider $C(X)$ as a closed subalgebra of $C(X, A)$.

(4) To every continuous function $f : X \rightarrow A$, we correspond the function

$$\tilde{f} : \mathfrak{M}(A) \rightarrow C(X), \quad \tilde{f}(\phi) = \phi \circ f.$$

If \mathcal{I} is a family of continuous A -valued functions on X , we define

$$\phi[\mathcal{I}] = \{\phi \circ f : f \in \mathcal{I}\} = \{\tilde{f}(\phi) : f \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

2. VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

In this section, we introduce and study the notion of vector-valued function algebras.

Definition 2.1 (See [13]). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a commutative unital Banach algebra. An A -valued function algebra on X is a subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $C(X, A)$ such that (1) \mathcal{A} contains all the constant functions $X \rightarrow A$, $x \mapsto a$, with $a \in A$, and (2) \mathcal{A} separates the points of X in the sense that, for every pair x, y of distinct points in X , there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. A normed A -valued function algebra on X is an A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} on X endowed with some algebra norm $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|$ such that the restriction of $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|$ to A is equivalent to the original norm $\|\cdot\|$ of A , and $\|f\|_X \leq \|\!\|f\|\!\|$, for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$. A complete normed A -valued function algebra is called a *Banach A -valued function algebra*. A Banach A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} is called an *A -valued uniform algebra* if the given norm of \mathcal{A} is equivalent to the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_X$.

If there is no risk of confusion, instead of $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|$, we use the same notation $\|\cdot\|$ for the norm of \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{A} be an A -valued function algebra on X . For every $x \in X$, define $\mathcal{E}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ by $\mathcal{E}_x(f) = f(x)$. We call \mathcal{E}_x the *evaluation homomorphism* at x . Our definition of Banach A -valued function algebras implies that every evaluation homomorphism \mathcal{E}_x is continuous. As it is mentioned in [13], if the condition $\|f\|_X \leq \|\!\|f\|\!\|$, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, is replaced by the requirement that every evaluation homomorphism \mathcal{E}_x is continuous, then one can find some constant M such that

$$\|f\|_X \leq M \|\!\|f\|\!\| \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}).$$

2.1. Admissible function algebras. Given a complex-valued function algebra \mathfrak{A} and an A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} on X , according to [13, Definition 2.1], the quadruple $(X, A, \mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A})$ is *admissible* if \mathfrak{A} is natural, $\mathfrak{A}A \subset \mathcal{A}$, and

$$\{\phi \circ f : \phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A), f \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathfrak{A}.$$

Taking this into account, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.2. An A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *admissible* if

$$\{(\phi \circ f)\mathbf{1} : \phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A), f \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.1)$$

When \mathcal{A} is admissible, we set $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap C(X)$ (more precisely, $\mathcal{A} \cap C(X)\mathbf{1}$). Then \mathfrak{A} is the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} consisting of all scalar-valued functions in \mathcal{A} and forms a function algebra by itself. Note that $\mathfrak{A} = \phi[\mathcal{A}]$, for all $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$. Of course, if $(X, A, \mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{A})$ is an admissible quadruple, in the sense of [13], then \mathcal{A} satisfies (2.1) and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap C(X)$ is natural. In general, however, we do not assume \mathfrak{A} to be natural, hence an admissible A -valued function algebra \mathcal{A} may not form an admissible quadruple.

Example 2.3. Let \mathfrak{A} be a complex-valued function algebra on X . Then $\mathfrak{A}A$ is an admissible A -valued function algebra on X . Hence, the uniform closure of $\mathfrak{A}A$ in $C(X, A)$ is an admissible A -valued uniform algebra (Proposition 2.5 below).

Other examples of admissible function algebras are presented in Section 4. Here, we present an example to show that not all vector-valued function algebras are admissible.

Example 2.4. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} which is not polynomially convex so that $P(K) \neq R(K)$. For example, let $K = \mathbb{T}$ be the unit circle. Set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(f_p, f_r) : f_p \in P(K), f_r \in R(K)\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A} is a uniformly closed subalgebra of $C(K, \mathbb{C}^2)$, it contains all the constant functions $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and separates the points of K . Hence \mathcal{A} is a \mathbb{C}^2 -valued uniform algebra on K . Let $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$ be the unit element of \mathbb{C}^2 , and let π_1 and π_2 be the coordinate projections of \mathbb{C}^2 . Then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1[\mathcal{A}]\mathbf{1} &= \{f\mathbf{1} : f \in P(K)\} = \{(f, f) : f \in P(K)\}, \\ \pi_2[\mathcal{A}]\mathbf{1} &= \{f\mathbf{1} : f \in R(K)\} = \{(f, f) : f \in R(K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\pi_1[\mathcal{A}]\mathbf{1} \subset \mathcal{A}$ while $\pi_2[\mathcal{A}]\mathbf{1} \not\subset \mathcal{A}$. Hence \mathcal{A} is not admissible.

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible A -valued function algebra on X , with $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap C(X)$. Then the uniform closure $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is an admissible A -valued uniform algebra on X with $\bar{\mathfrak{A}} = C(X) \cap \bar{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof. The fact that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is an A -valued uniform algebra is clear. The inclusion $\bar{\mathfrak{A}} \subset C(X) \cap \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is also obvious. Take $f \in C(X) \cap \bar{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of A -valued functions in \mathcal{A} such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X . For some $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, take $g_n = \phi \circ f_n$. Then $g_n \in \mathfrak{A}$ and, since $f = (\phi \circ f)\mathbf{1}$, we have

$$\|g_n - f\|_X = \|\phi \circ f_n - \phi \circ f\|_X \leq \|f_n - f\|_X \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, $g_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X and thus $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}}$. \square

2.2. Certain vector-valued uniform algebras. Let \mathfrak{A}_0 be a complex function algebra on X , and let \mathfrak{A} and \mathcal{A} be the uniform closures of \mathfrak{A}_0 and \mathfrak{A}_0A , in $C(X)$ and $C(X, A)$, respectively. Then \mathcal{A} is an admissible A -valued uniform algebra on X with $\mathfrak{A} = C(X) \cap \mathcal{A}$. The algebra \mathcal{A} is isometrically isomorphic to the injective tensor product $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon A$ (cf. [11, Proposition 1.5.6]). To see this, let $T : \mathfrak{A} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the unique linear mapping, given by [3, Theorem 42.6], such that $T(f \otimes a)(x) = f(x)a$, for all $x \in X$. Let \mathfrak{A}_1^* and A_1^* denote the closed unit

ball of \mathfrak{A}^* and A^* , respectively, and let $\|\cdot\|_\epsilon$ denote the injective tensor norm. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right) \right\|_X &= \sup_{x \in X} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) a_i \right\| = \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{\nu \in A_1^*} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \nu(a_i) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\nu \in A_1^*} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\cdot) \nu(a_i) \right\|_X = \sup_{\nu \in A_1^*} \sup_{\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_1^*} \left| \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\cdot) \nu(a_i) \right) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_1^*} \sup_{\nu \in A_1^*} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(f_i) \nu(a_i) \right| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right\|_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T extends to an isometry \bar{T} from $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon A$ into \mathcal{A} . Since the range of T contains $\mathfrak{A}_0 A$, which is dense in \mathcal{A} , the range of \bar{T} is the whole of \mathcal{A} . We remark that, by a theorem of Tomiyama [16], the character space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is homeomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$.

Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} . Associated with K there are three vector-valued uniform algebras in which we are interested. Let $P_0(K, A)$ be the algebra of the restriction to K of A -valued polynomials $p(z) = a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ with coefficients in A . Let $R_0(K, A)$ be the algebra of the restriction to K of rational functions of the form $p(z)/q(z)$, where $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ are A -valued polynomials, and $q(\lambda) \in \text{Inv}(A)$ for $\lambda \in K$. And let $H_0(K, A)$ be the algebra of A -valued functions on K having a holomorphic extension to a neighbourhood of K .

When $A = \mathbb{C}$, we drop A and write $P_0(K)$, $R_0(K)$ and $H_0(K)$. Their uniform closures in $C(K)$, denoted by $P(K)$, $R(K)$ and $H(K)$, are complex uniform algebras (for more on complex uniform algebras, see [6] or [12]).

The algebras $P_0(K, A)$, $R_0(K, A)$ and $H_0(K, A)$ are admissible A -valued function algebras on K , and their uniform closures in $C(K, A)$, denoted by $P(K, A)$, $R(K, A)$ and $H(K, A)$, are admissible A -valued uniform algebras. It obvious that

$$P(K, A) \subset R(K, A) \subset H(K, A).$$

Every polynomial $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$ in $P_0(K, A)$ is, clearly, of the form $p(z) = p_0(z) a_0 + p_1(z) a_1 + \cdots + p_n(z) a^n$, where p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n are polynomials in $P_0(K)$. Thus $P_0(K, A) = P_0(K) A$. The above discussion shows that $P(K, A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $P(K) \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon A$. The character space of $P(K)$ is homeomorphic to \hat{K} , the polynomially convex hull of K ([12, Section 5.2]). The character space of $P(K, A)$ is, therefore, homeomorphic to $\hat{K} \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$.

Runge's classical approximation theorem states that if Λ is a subset of \mathbb{C} such that Λ has nonempty intersection with each bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$, then every function $f \in H_0(K)$ can be approximated uniformly on K by rational functions with poles only among the points of Λ and at infinity ([3, Theorem 7.7]). In particular, $R(K) = H(K)$. The following is a version of Runge's theorem for vector-valued functions.

Theorem 2.6 (Runge). *Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} , and let Λ be a subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ having nonempty intersection with each bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$. Then every function $f \in H_0(K, A)$ can be approximated uniformly on K by A -valued*

rational functions of the form

$$r(z) = r_1(z)a_1 + r_2(z)a_2 + \cdots + r_n(z)a_n, \quad (2.2)$$

where $r_i(z)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, are rational functions in $R_0(K)$ with poles only among the points of Λ and at infinity, and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$.

Proof. Take $f \in H_0(K, A)$. Then, there exists an open set D such that $K \subset D$ and $f : D \rightarrow A$ is holomorphic. We use the same notation as in [3]. We let E be a punched disc envelope for (K, D) ; see [3, Definition 6.2]. The Cauchy theorem and the Cauchy integral formula are also valid for Banach space valued holomorphic functions (see the remark after Corollary 6.6 in [3] and [14, Theorem 3.31]). Therefore,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E} \frac{f(s)}{s-z} ds \quad (z \in K).$$

Then, by [3, Proposition 6.5], one can write

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{jn}}{(z - z_j)^n} \quad (z \in K), \quad (2.3)$$

where $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{C} \setminus K$, and the coefficients α_n, β_{jn} belong to A . Note that the series in (2.3) converges uniformly on K .

So far, we have seen that f can be approximated uniformly on K by A -valued rational functions of the form (2.2), where $r_i(z)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, are rational functions in $R_0(K)$ with poles just outside K . Using Runge's classical theorem, each $r_i(z)$ can be approximated uniformly on K by rational functions with poles only among the points of Λ and at infinity. Hence, we conclude that f can be approximated uniformly on K by rational functions of the form (2.2) with preassigned poles. \square

As a consequence of the above theorem, we see that the uniform closures of $R_0(K)A$, $H_0(K)A$, $R_0(K, A)$ and $H_0(K, A)$ are all the same. In particular,

$$R(K, A) = H(K, A).$$

Corollary 2.7. *The algebra $R(K, A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $R(K) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon A$ and, therefore, $\mathfrak{M}(R(K, A))$ is homeomorphic to $K \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$.*

We remark that the equality $\mathfrak{M}(R(K, A)) = K \times \mathfrak{M}(A)$ is proved in [13]. The authors, however, did not notice the equality $R(K, A) = R(K) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon A$.

3. VECTOR-VALUED CHARACTERS

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach A -valued function algebra on X , and consider the point evaluation homomorphisms $\mathcal{E}_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$. These kind of homomorphisms enjoy the following properties:

- $\mathcal{E}_x(a) = a$, for all $a \in A$,
- $\mathcal{E}_x(\phi \circ f) = \phi(\mathcal{E}_x f)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$,
- If \mathcal{A} is admissible (with $\mathfrak{A} = C(X) \cap \mathcal{A}$) then $\mathcal{E}_x|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a character of \mathfrak{A} , namely, the evaluation character ε_x .

We now introduce the class of all homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} into A having the same properties as the point evaluation homomorphisms \mathcal{E}_x ($x \in X$).

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible A -valued function algebra on X . A homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ is called an A -character if $\Psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\phi(\Psi f) = \Psi(\phi \circ f)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$. The set of all A -characters of \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_A(\mathcal{A})$.

That every A -character $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ satisfies $\Psi(a) = a$, for all $a \in A$, is easy to see. In fact, since $\phi(\Psi(a)) = \Psi(\phi(a)) = \phi(a)$, for all $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, and A is semisimple, we get $\Psi(a) = a$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ be a linear operator such that $\Psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\phi(\Psi f) = \Psi(\phi \circ f)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (i) Ψ is an A -character,
- (ii) $\Psi(f) \neq \mathbf{0}$, for every $f \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$,
- (iii) $\Psi(f) \neq \mathbf{0}$, for every $f \in \text{Inv}(\mathfrak{A})$,
- (iv) if $\psi = \Psi|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, then $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is clear. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from [14, Theorem 10.9]. To prove (iv) \Rightarrow (i), take $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. For every $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, we have

$$\phi(\Psi(fg)) = \Psi(\phi \circ fg) = \psi((\phi \circ f)(\phi \circ g)) = \psi(\phi \circ f)\psi(\phi \circ g) = \phi(\Psi(f)\Psi(g)).$$

Since A is semisimple, we get $\Psi(fg) = \Psi(f)\Psi(g)$. \square

Every A -character is automatically continuous by Johnson's theorem [10]. If A is a uniform algebra and \mathcal{A} is an A -valued uniform algebra, we even have $\|\Psi\| = 1$, for any A -character Ψ .

Proposition 3.3. Let Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 be A -characters on \mathcal{A} , and set $\psi_1 = \Psi_1|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\psi_2 = \Psi_2|_{\mathfrak{A}}$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$, (ii) $\ker \Psi_1 = \ker \Psi_2$, (iii) $\ker \psi_1 = \ker \psi_2$, (iv) $\psi_1 = \psi_2$.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), follows from the fact that $\ker \psi_i = \ker \Psi_i \cap \mathfrak{A}$, for $i = 1, 2$. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from [14, Theorem 11.5]. Finally, if we have (iv), then, for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\phi(\Psi_1(f)) = \psi_1(\phi(f)) = \psi_2(\phi(f)) = \phi(\Psi_2(f)) \quad (\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)).$$

Since A is semisimple, we get $\Psi_1(f) = \Psi_2(f)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible A -valued function algebra on X . Given a character $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$, if there exists an A -character Ψ on \mathcal{A} such that $\Psi|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \psi$, then we say that ψ lifts to the A -character Ψ .

Proposition 3.3 shows that, if $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ lifts to Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 , then $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2$. For every $x \in X$, the unique A -character to which the evaluation character ε_x lifts is the evaluation homomorphism \mathcal{E}_x . In the following, we investigate conditions under which every character $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ lifts to some A -character Ψ . To proceed, we need some definitions, notations and auxiliary results.

Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible Banach A -valued function algebra on X and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \cap C(X)\mathbf{1}$. Then \mathfrak{A} is a Banach function algebra. For every $f \in \mathcal{A}$, consider the function $\tilde{f} : \mathfrak{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ f$. Set $\mathcal{X} = \mathfrak{M}(A)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\tilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Suppose every \tilde{f} is continuous, with respect to the Gelfand topology of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ and the norm topology of \mathfrak{A} (this is the case for uniform algebras; see Corollary 3.6). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is an \mathfrak{A} -valued function algebra on \mathcal{X} . In Theorem 3.8, we will discuss conditions under which $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is admissible; we will see that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is admissible if and only if every character $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ lifts to some A -character $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}_A(\mathcal{A})$.

In the following, we extend \tilde{f} to a mapping from A^* to $C(X)$, and we still denote this extension is by \tilde{f} . Note that $\phi \circ f \in C(X)$, for all $\phi \in A^*$, and $\|\phi \circ f\|_X \leq \|\phi\| \|f\|_X$.

Proposition 3.5. *With respect to the w^* -topology of A^* and the uniform topology of $C(X)$, every mapping $\tilde{f} : A^* \rightarrow C(X)$ is continuous on bounded subsets of A^* .*

Proof. Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be a net in A^* that converges, in the w^* -topology, to some $\phi_0 \in A^*$ and suppose $\|\phi_\alpha\| \leq M$, for all α . Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and set, for every $x \in X$,

$$V_x = \{s \in X : \|f(s) - f(x)\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then $\{V_x : x \in X\}$ is an open covering of the compact space X . Hence, there exist finitely many points x_1, \dots, x_n in X such that $X \subset V_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{x_n}$. Set

$$U_0 = \{\phi \in A^* : |\phi(f(x_i)) - \phi_0(f(x_i))| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

The set U_0 is an open neighbourhood of ϕ_0 in the w^* -topology. Since $\phi_\alpha \rightarrow \phi_0$, there exists α_0 such that $\phi_\alpha \in U_0$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. If $x \in X$, then $\|f(x) - f(x_i)\| < \varepsilon$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and thus, for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_\alpha \circ f(x) - \phi_0 \circ f(x)| &\leq |\phi_\alpha(f(x)) - \phi_\alpha(f(x_i))| + |\phi_\alpha(f(x_i)) - \phi_0(f(x_i))| \\ &\quad + |\phi_0(f(x_i)) - \phi_0(f(x))| \\ &< M\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \|\phi_0\|\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, we get $\|\phi_\alpha \circ f - \phi_0 \circ f\|_X \leq \varepsilon(M + \|\phi_0\| + 1)$. \square

Since $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ is a bounded subset of A^* , we get the following result for uniform algebras.

Corollary 3.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible A -valued uniform algebra on A . Then $\tilde{f} \in C(\mathfrak{M}(A), \mathfrak{A})$, for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\tilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is an \mathfrak{A} -valued uniform algebra on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$.*

To prove our main result, we also need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *For every $f \in \mathcal{A}$, if $\tilde{f} : \mathfrak{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is scalar-valued, then f is a constant function and, therefore, $\tilde{f} = \hat{a}$, for some $a \in A$.*

Proof. Fix a point $x_0 \in A$ and let $a = f(x_0)$. The function \tilde{f} being scalar-valued means that, for every $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, there is a complex number λ such that $\tilde{f}(\phi) = \phi \circ f = \lambda$. This means that $\phi \circ f$ is a constant function on X so that

$$\phi(f(x)) = \phi(f(x_0)) = \phi(a) \quad (x \in X). \quad (3.1)$$

Since A is semisimple and (3.1) holds for every $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)$, we must have $f(x) = a$, for all $x \in X$. Thus, $\tilde{f} = \hat{a}$. \square

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of the section.

Theorem 3.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible Banach A -valued function algebra on X , and let E be the linear span of $\mathfrak{M}(A)$ in A^* . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) for every $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the mapping $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $g(\phi) = \psi(\phi \circ f)$, is continuous with respect to the w^* -topology of E ;
- (ii) every $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ lifts to an A -character $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$;
- (iii) every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has a unique extension $F : \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\phi(F(\psi)) = \psi(\phi \circ f) \quad (\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}), \phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A));$$

Moreover, if the functions $\tilde{f} : \mathfrak{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, where $f \in \mathcal{A}$, are all continuous so that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\tilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is an \mathfrak{A} -valued function algebra on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$, then the above statements are equivalent to

- (iv) $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is admissible.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Fix $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\phi \circ f \in \mathfrak{A}$, for every $\phi \in E$, we see that g is a well-defined linear functional on E . Endowed with the w^* -topology, A^* is a locally convex space with A as its dual. Since g is w^* -continuous, by the Hahn-Banach extension theorem [14, Theorem 3.6], there is a w^* -continuous linear functional G on A^* that extends g . Hence $G = \hat{a}$, for some $a \in A$, and since A is semisimple, a is unique. Now, define $\Psi(f) = a$. Then

$$\phi(\Psi(f)) = \hat{a}(\phi) = g(\phi) = \psi(\phi \circ f) \quad (\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(A)).$$

It is easily seen that $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ is an A -character and $\Psi|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \psi$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Fix $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and define $F : \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow A$ by $F(\psi) = \Psi(f)$, where Ψ is the unique A -character of \mathcal{A} to which ψ lifts. Considering the identification $x \mapsto \varepsilon_x$ and the fact that each ε_x lifts to $\mathcal{E}_x : f \mapsto f(x)$, we get

$$F(x) = F(\varepsilon_x) = \mathcal{E}_x(f) = f(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

So, $F|_X = f$. Also, $\phi(F(\psi)) = \phi(\Psi(f)) = \psi(\phi \circ f)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Fix $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and put $a = F(\psi)$, where F is the unique extension of f to $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ given by (iii). Then $g(\phi) = \hat{a}(\phi)$, for every $\phi \in E$, which is, obviously, a continuous function with respect to the w^* -topology of E .

Finally, suppose $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\tilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is an \mathfrak{A} -valued function algebra on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$. We prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i). If \mathcal{A} satisfies (ii), then

$$\psi \circ \tilde{f} = \widehat{\Psi(f)} \in \hat{A} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}, \psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})).$$

This means that $\{\psi \circ \tilde{f} : \psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}), f \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and thus $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is admissible. Conversely, suppose $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is admissible. Then, for every $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $\psi \circ \tilde{f}$ is a complex-valued function in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. By Lemma 3.7, $\psi \circ \tilde{f}$ belongs to \hat{A} so that $\psi \circ \tilde{f} = \hat{a}$, for some $a \in A$. Hence, $g(\phi) = \hat{a}(\phi)$, for every $\phi \in E$, and g is w^* -continuous. \square

The following shows that for a wide class of admissible A -valued function algebras, including admissible A -valued uniform algebras, the mapping g in the above theorem is continuous and thus every $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ lifts to some $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}_A(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be an admissible Banach A -valued function algebra on X with $\mathfrak{A} = C(X) \cap \mathcal{A}$. If $\|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|_X$, for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, then the mapping g in Theorem 3.8 is continuous and thus every character $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ lifts to some A -character $\Psi \in \mathfrak{M}_A(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, if \mathfrak{A} is a uniform algebra, then \mathcal{A} satisfies all conditions in Theorem 3.8.*

Proof. Take $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ and let g be as in Theorem 3.8. Since $\|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|_X$, for every $f \in \mathfrak{A}$, ψ is a continuous functional on $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_X)$; see [8]. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, ψ extends to a continuous linear functional $\bar{\psi}$ on $C(X)$. This, in turn, implies that g extends to a linear functional $\bar{g} : A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\bar{g}(\phi) = \bar{\psi}(\phi \circ f)$. By Proposition 3.5, the extended mapping $\tilde{f} : A^* \rightarrow C(X)$ is w^* -continuous on bounded subsets of A^* . Hence, the linear functional \bar{g} is w^* -continuous on bounded subsets of A^* . Since A is a Banach space, Corollary 3.11.4 in [9] shows that \bar{g} is w^* -continuous on A^* . \square

Corollary 3.10. *If \mathcal{A} is an admissible A -valued uniform algebra on X , then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is an admissible \mathfrak{A} -valued uniform algebra on $\mathfrak{M}(A)$.*

When \mathcal{A} is an admissible A -valued uniform algebra, every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ extends to a function $F : \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow A$. If, in addition, A is a uniform algebra, one can prove that this extension F is continuous and the following maximum principle holds;

$$\|F\|_{\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A})} = \|F\|_X = \|f\|_X.$$

Remark. When \mathfrak{A} is uniformly closed in $C(X)$, every linear functional $\psi \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ lifts to some bounded linear operator $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A$ with the property that $\phi(\Psi f) = \psi(\phi \circ f)$, for all $\phi \in A^*$. In fact, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, ψ extends to a linear functional $\bar{\psi} \in C(X)^*$. By the Riesz representation theorem, there is a complex Radon measure μ on X such that $\psi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$, for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}$. Using [14, Theorem 3.7], one can define $\Psi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$, for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$\phi(\Psi(f)) = \int_X (\phi \circ f) d\mu = \psi(\phi \circ f) \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}, \phi \in A^*).$$

4. EXAMPLES

We conclude the paper by giving some examples of identifying the A -characters of certain admissible Banach A -valued function algebras.

Example 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = C(X, A)$. Then $\mathfrak{A} = C(X)$ is natural, that is, its only characters are the point evaluation characters ε_x ($x \in X$). Hence the only A -characters of $C(X, A)$ are the point evaluation homomorphisms \mathcal{E}_x ($x \in X$).

Another example is $\mathcal{A} = R(K, A)$, where $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact. In this case $\mathfrak{A} = R(K)$ is also natural. Hence the only A -characters of $R(K, A)$ are the point evaluation homomorphisms \mathcal{E}_λ ($\lambda \in K$).

Example 4.2. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} and let $\mathcal{A} = P(K, A)$. Then $\mathfrak{M}(P(K)) = \hat{K}$, the polynomially convex hull of K . Since \mathcal{A} is an A -valued uniform algebra, by Theorem 3.9, every $f \in P(K, A)$ extends to a function $F : \hat{K} \rightarrow A$, and every $\lambda \in \hat{K}$ induces an A -character $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : P(K, A) \rightarrow A$ given by $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(f) = F(\lambda)$. Thus the set of A -characters of $P(K, A)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with \hat{K} .

Example 4.3 (Vector-valued Lipschitz Algebras). Let (X, ρ) be a compact metric space. An A -valued *Lipschitz function* is a function $f : X \rightarrow A$ such that

$$L(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{\rho(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Denoted by $\text{Lip}(X, A)$, the space of A -valued Lipschitz functions on X is an A -valued function algebra on X . For $f \in \text{Lip}(X, A)$, the Lipschitz norm of f is defined by $\|f\|_L = \|f\|_X + L(f)$. It is easily verified that $(\text{Lip}(X, A), \|\cdot\|_L)$ is an admissible Banach A -valued function algebra with $\text{Lip}(X) = \text{Lip}(X, A) \cap C(X)$, where $\text{Lip}(X) = \text{Lip}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is the classical complex-valued Lipschitz algebra. Recently, in [5], the character space and Šilov boundary of $\text{Lip}(X, A)$ has been studied. Since $\mathfrak{A} = \text{Lip}(X)$ is natural ([5] or [15]), the only A -characters of $\text{Lip}(X, A)$ are the point evaluation homomorphisms \mathcal{E}_x ($x \in X$).

Next, let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle in \mathbb{C} , and let $\text{Lip}_P(\mathbb{T}, A)$ be the closure of $P_0(\mathbb{T}, A)$ in $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}, A)$. Then $\mathfrak{A} = \text{Lip}_P(\mathbb{T})$, the closure of $P_0(\mathbb{T})$ in $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$, with $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{A}) = \Delta$, the closed unit disc. It is easily verified that $\|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|_{\mathbb{T}}$, for every $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$. Hence, by Theorem 3.9, every $f \in \text{Lip}_P(\mathbb{T}, A)$ extends to a function $F : \Delta \rightarrow A$, and every $\lambda \in \Delta$ induces an A -character $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : \text{Lip}_P(\mathbb{T}, A) \rightarrow A$ given by $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(f) = F(\lambda)$. The set of A -characters of $\text{Lip}_P(\mathbb{T}, A)$ is therefore in one-to-one correspondence with Δ .

Acknowledgement. The author expresses his sincere gratitude to the anonymous referee for their careful reading and suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. M. Abel, M. Abtahi, *Description of Closed Maximal Ideals in Topological Algebras of Continuous Vector-valued Functions*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **11** (2014), 1185–1193.
2. M. Abtahi and S. Farhangi, *Vector-valued spectra of Banach algebra valued continuous functions*, [arXiv:1510.06641](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.06641)
3. F.F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1973).
4. H.G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*. London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series 24, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (2000).
5. K. Esmaeili and H. Mahyar, *The character spaces and Šilov boundaries of vector-valued lipschitz function algebras*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **45** 6 (2014), 977–988.
6. T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ., (1969).
7. A. Hausner, *Ideals in a certain Banach algebra*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 246–249.
8. T.G. Honary, *Relations between Banach function algebras and their uniform closures*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **109** (1990), 337–342.

9. J. Horváth, *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., (1966).
10. B.E. Johnson, *The uniqueness of the (complete) norm topology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 537–539.
11. E. Kaniuth, *A Course in Commutative Banach Algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 246, Springer, (2009).
12. G.M. Leibowitz, *Lectures on Complex Function Algebras*, Scott, Foresman and Company, 1970.
13. A. Nikou and A.G. O’Farrell, *Banach algebras of vector-valued functions*, Glasgow Math. J., **56** (2014), 419–246.
14. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, (1991).
15. D.R. Sherbert, *Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Pacific J. Math., **13** (1963), 1387–1399.
16. J. Tomiyama, *Tensor products of commutative Banach algebras*, Tohoku Math. J., **12** (1960), 147–154.
17. B. Yood, *Banach algebras of continuous functions*, Amer. J. Math., **73** (1951), 30–42.
18. W. Żelazko, *Banach Algebras*, Translated from the Polish by Marcin E. Kuczma. Elsevier Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London-New York; PWN–Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, (1973).

MORTAZA ABTAHI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, DAMGHAN UNIVERSITY, DAMGHAN,
P.O.BOX 36715-364, IRAN

E-mail address: abtahi@du.ac.ir; mortaza.abtahi@gmail.com